C.J.P. 50000-1-79

APPEAL OF PRISONER

Division Bench (Criminal)

No. 854 Name [illegible]

Father's name [illegible]

Residence [illegible] Age 27 yrs

Sentenced to [illegible] on 27-12-88.

Under section 302 I.P.C. by [illegible]

it is explained to the prisoner that if he states he wishes to be represented be a legal practitioner the Appelate Court will not proceed with the base for seven days unless the legal practitioner appears either. If the legal practitioner does not appear with in seven days he may not be heard at all If the prisoner states that he does not wish to be represented by legal practitioner the court may proceed at once wish the case and wil not be obliged to give a hearing to any legal practitioner who should appear.

1 Date of Application for copy of Judgement 27-12-88.

2 Date of which copy received- 27-12-88.

3 Date on which Appeal sent 24-2-89.

4 Whether the prisoner wishes to be represented or not yes/No. Yes.

'000'11—88

No. 854 Name [illegible]

Continued in District Jail Raigarh

No. 313 dated 24-2-89 19

Forwarded to the ~~Deputy Commissioner~~ C.J.M. Raigarh.

to gather which a copy of judgement or order passed in the case for favour of transmission to the proper Appellate Court,

[illegible]

SUPERINTENDENT
DISTRICT JAIL RAIGARH

Superintendent Jail

Date of receipt in Deputy Commissioner's Office 24/2/89

Date of receipt of record to accompany Memo of Appeal of the Appeliate Court.

No. 61 CRM Durg-88 dated 24/2/89 197

Forwarded to the Sessions Judge Durg (Cg)

27-12-88 to 24/2-

E 4-3

Deputy Com

Date of receipt in Appellate Court

P.T.O.

XI-HC-22

(14)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक Criminal Appeal No. 331/89 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	20/3/2001 Shri B.P. Gupta & Shri A.K. Singh, learned counsel for the appellant. Shri Ranvir Singh, learned GA for the State. On 24/2/2001 we directed that the accused be produced in proper custody before the Court. In reply to the production warrant, the Superintendent, Central Jail, Raipur vide his fax message dated 20/3/2001 has informed the Court that the accused - Gangadhar s/o. Bodhram has expired on 2/6/1994 in D.K. Hospital, Raipur, during his treatment. In view of the said report nothing further is required to be done in the matter. The appeal is dismissed as abated. Sd/- Chief Justice Sd/- R.S. Garg Judge	
rsnr +1		

[पीछे देखिये